




# NSA deleting more than 685 million call records due to 'technical irregularities' during Obama reign of digital terror

 FILE - In this June 6, 2013 file photo, a sign stands outside the National Security Agency (NSA) campus in Fort Meade, Md. There was a break in the case of a man who fired shots on several occupied vehicles and the headquarters of the NSA when he returned to the scene of the first shooting, police said Wednesday. The 35-year-old Prince George's County man was arrested Tuesday night near Arundel Mills mall, where shots were fired Feb. 24. A man driving away from a gas station near the mall was injured by glass shot out from his car, police said. (AP Photo/Patrick Semansky, File)

*FILE - In this June 6, 2013 file photo, a sign stands outside the National Security Agency (NSA) campus in Fort Meade, Md. There was a break in the case of a man who fired shots on several occupied vehicles ... [more](#) >*

By Deb Riechmann and  
Susannah George -  
*Associated Press - Sunday,  
July 1, 2018*

Print

WASHINGTON —  
The [National Security Agency](#) is deleting more than 685 million call records the

Search

GO


government obtained since 2015 from telecommunication companies in connection with investigations, raising questions about the viability of the program.

The [NSA](#)'s bulk collection of call records was initially curtailed by [Congress](#) after former [NSA](#) contractor Edward Snowden leaked documents revealing extensive government surveillance. The law, enacted in June 2015, said that going forward, the data would be retained by telecommunications companies, not the [NSA](#), but that the [intelligence agency](#) could query the massive database.

Now the [NSA](#) is deleting all the information it collected from the queries.

The [agency](#) released a statement late Thursday saying it started deleting the records in May after [NSA](#) analysts noted "technical irregularities in some data received from telecommunication service

## LATEST VIDEOS



**More videos:**


providers.” It also said the irregularities resulted in the [NSA](#) obtaining some call details it was not authorized to receive.

That points to a failure of the program, according to David Kris, a former top national security official at the Justice Department.

“They said they have to purge three years’ worth of data going back to 2015, and that the data they did collect during that time - which they are now purging - was not reliable and was infected with some kind of technical error,” said Kris, founder of Culper Partners, a consulting firm in Seattle. “So whatever insights they were hoping to get over the past three years from this program of collection ... is all worthless. Because of that, they are throwing all the data away and basically starting over.”

Christopher Augustine, an [NSA](#) spokesman, disagreed with the claim that the program had failed.


## RECOMMENDED

 President Donald Trump walks across the South Lawn of the White House in Washington, Thursday, June 28, 2018, following his arrival on Marine One helicopter. (AP Photo/Pablo Martinez Monsivais)


**Long-term justice: Younger Supreme Court candidates on Trump’s list favored**

 obj.0.content\_object.caption

**Quiz: How well do you know your guns?**

 Deputy Attorney General Rod Rosenstein, right, and FBI Director Christopher Wray, left, are sworn in to testify before a House Judiciary Committee hearing on Capitol Hill in Washington, Thursday, June 28, 2018, on

**House imposes July 6 deadline for DOJ to turn over Russia documents**

 seahawks-broncos-footballjpeg-063d2\_primary\_image.jpg

**Famous conservatives in professional sports**

---

Justice Department and FBI actions around the 2016 presidential election. (AP Photo/Andrew Harnik)


**SPONSORED CONTENT**

“This is a case in which [NSA](#) determined that there was a problem and proactively took all the right steps to fix it,” he said.

The [agency](#) has reviewed and re-validated the intelligence reporting to make sure it was based only on call data that had been properly received from the telecommunication providers, he said. The [agency](#) declined to assign blame, and said the “root cause of the problem has since been addressed.”

Sen. Ron Wyden, D-Ore., a staunch advocate of privacy rights, placed the blame on the telecom companies providing the [NSA](#) with call records.

“This incident shows these companies acted with unacceptable carelessness, and failed to comply with the law when they shared customers’ sensitive data with the government,” he told The Associated Press in a written statement Friday.

 How To:  
Fix Your  
Fatigue And  
Get More  
Energy

**How To: Fix Your Fatigue  
And Get More Energy**

---

---

---

---

---

Under law, the government can request information, such as the type of details that might be printed on a phone bill: the date and time of a call or text, a telephone calling card number, the duration of a call and to what phone number it was made. The details provided to the government do not include the content of any communications, the name, address or financial information of a customer, cell site location or GPS information.

If government investigators have reasonable suspicion that a certain phone number is being used by a terrorist, who might be in the U.S. or overseas, the government asks the phone companies which other numbers have been in touch with the suspicious number - something known as the “first hops” - and then which numbers are in touch with those numbers, the “second hops.”

The [NSA](#) collected more than 534.4 million details of calls

## QUESTION OF THE DAY

### Should President Trump listen to Democrats and abolish ICE?

- YES
- NO
- NOT SURE

**VOTE**

[View results](#)

---

and text messages in 2017 from [American telecom](#) providers like [AT&T](#) and [Version](#), according to the most recent government report covering [NSA](#) surveillance activities that year. That was more than three times the 151.2 million collected in 2016.

The call records were part of an intelligence collection effort aimed at 42 targets in 2016 and 40 targets in 2017, according to the report. It defines a target as an individual, group of individuals, organization or entity.

Annual reports to [Congress](#) from the intelligence community are now required under the 2015 surveillance reform legislation. The law also requires the government to seek a court order to collect call records to obtain intelligence. Requests for records of U.S. citizens must be based on an investigation being conducted to protect against terrorism or

## STORY TOPICS

**CONGRESS**  
**NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY**  
**WASHINGTON**  
**VERSION**  
**AMERICAN TELECOM**

clandestine intelligence activities and the probe cannot be conducted solely on activities protected by the First Amendment.

However, despite the reforms, the [NSA](#) still received some data from the telecommunications companies that the [agency](#) was not authorized to see and some of that data was erroneous, Augustine said.

“We cannot go into greater detail because those details remain classified. However, at no point in time did [NSA](#) receive the content of any calls, the name, address or financial information of a subscriber or customer, nor cell site location information or global positioning system information,” he said.

Privacy and civil rights advocates said the [NSA](#) announcement raised further concerns about the program.



“This is another in a series of failures that shows that many [NSA](#) spying programs have ballooned out of control and have repeatedly failed to meet the basic limits imposed by [Congress](#) and the FISA court,” said Neema Singh Guliani, legislative counsel with the American Civil Liberties Union in [Washington](#). Guliani was referring to a U.S. federal court established and authorized under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act to oversee requests for surveillance warrants.

She said the public has a right to know more about the cause and scope of the problem, such as how many of the records were obtained in error and whether the [NSA](#) notified any individuals that their information improperly ended up in the [agency](#)’s hands.